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Germ cell tumour is the most frequent malignant tumour type in young men with a 100% rise in the incidence every 20 years. Despite this, the high sensitivity of germ cell tumours to platinum-based chemotherapy, together with radiation and surgical measures, leads to the high cure rate of ≥99% in early stages and 90%, 75-80% and 50% in advanced disease with ‘good’, ‘intermediate’ and ‘poor’ prognostic criteria (IGCCCG classification), respectively. The high cure rate in patients with limited metastatic disease allows the reduction of overall treatment load, and therefore less acute and long-term toxicity, e.g. organ sparing surgery for specific cases, reduced dose and treatment volume of irradiation or substitution of node dissection by surveillance or adjuvant chemotherapy according to the presence or absence of vascular invasion. Thus, different treatment options according to prognostic factors including histology, stage and patient factors and possibilities of the treating centre as well may be used to define the treatment strategy which is definitively chosen for an individual patient. However, this strategy of reduction of treatment load as well as the treatment itself require very high expertise of the treating physician with careful management and follow-up and thorough cooperation by the patient as well to maintain the high rate for cure. Treatment decisions must be based on the available evidence which has been the basis for this consensus guideline delivering a clear proposal for diagnostic and treatment measures in each stage of gonadal and extragonadal germ cell tumour and individual clinical situations. Since this guideline is based on the highest evidence level available today, a deviation from these proposals should be a rare and justified exception.
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Introduction

During the past two decades germ cell cancer has become the ‘model’ of a curable neoplasm. The major factor for the high cure rate is the high level of sensitivity of germ cell cancer to a variety of chemotherapeutic agents, in particular to cisplatinum. Further aspects have also been important for the development of the current treatment standards of germ cell cancer, in particular, well-designed and well-conducted prospective randomised trials highlighting clinically relevant questions in surgical, radiotherapeutic and chemotherapeutic management of germ cell cancer, as well as the integration of interdisciplinary management into the treatment and clinical research of these patients. Therefore, a large body of evidence from clinical trials exists that allows physicians and patients to choose
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between different treatments which offer the best curative option with the least amount of toxicity. However, due to the multitude of available treatment options, decision making regarding the optimal management of a patient has become more complicated. This applies in particular to patients with early stage disease who are often cured with minimal intervention and who are at risk of being overtreated. Likewise, patients with advanced, relapsed or refractory disease are difficult to treat and may not always receive optimal medical care.

Germ cell cancer is a rare disease that needs expert treatment. Clear evidence has emerged that, in particular, patients with advanced germ cell cancer benefit from the expertise of their managing physician with improved survival [EBM III: 1]. [Evidence-based medicine (EBM): definitions of the levels and characteristics of evidence originate from the US Agency for Health Care Policy and Research [2]. Evidence of the statements given in this paper are based on the criteria listed in Table 1.] This expertise is dependent on the experience of the physician which is probably best indicated by the number of patients treated in the department [EBM III: 1]. A large patient volume resulting in competence and experience even with rare clinical scenarios is crucial and most patients being referred to national reference centres with special experience in the field of germ cell cancer benefit from the expertise. However, of equal importance is that clear, comprehensive and up-to-date consensus guidelines are available which represent the current ‘state of the art’ in diagnosis and treatment of germ cell cancer. Therefore the European Germ Cell Cancer Consensus Group (EGCCCG) has developed the following guidelines which identify the current standards in diagnosis and treatment of germ cell cancer based on the available evidence published so far.

Patients and methods

On 22–23 November 2002, the European Consensus Conference on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Germ Cell Cancer was organised by the German Testicular Cancer Study Group (GTCSG) in Essen, Germany. Medical, radiation and urological oncologists, as well as pathologists, from several European countries were invited. The selection was based on major scientific contributions in the field of diagnosis and treatment of germ cell cancer. The purpose of this meeting was to define current ‘state of the art’ treatment using the methodology of evidence-based medicine (EBM) (Table 1) [2, 3]. In the 2 years prior to this meeting, members of the GTCSG had reviewed the available literature according to EBM standards and published national guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of germ cell cancer [4, 5]. These guidelines were sent to all the invited participants prior to the conference and served, together with two other EBM-based guidelines from other European countries [6, 7], as a basis for the discussion. The resulting text was edited by the writing committee, reviewed and discussed by all participants. All listed participants of the EGCCCG have agreed to this final consensus paper.

Diagnosis and staging

Clinical presentation of germ cell cancer

The majority of patients present with primary tumour in the testis. Delay in diagnosing germ cell cancer may be caused either by patients who may ignore symptoms too long or by physicians who fail to define the correct diagnosis, e.g. misclassify a testicular mass as epididymitis [EBM III: 8] or back pain as resulting from vertebral disc problems. Therefore, a high level of suspicion should be maintained in young men with any of these clinical features. In a minority of patients the primary tumour manifestation is located extragonadally, i.e. in the retroperitoneum or in the mediastinum. About one-third of these patients will harbour intratubular germ cell neoplasia (TIN) (synonym: carcinoma in situ, CIS). In another third, ultrasonography of the testes reveals scar tissue indicating a ‘burned out’ testicular tumour which also has to be removed. Therefore, only one-third of these patients have definitively a primary extragonadal germ cell tumour [EBM III: 9]. In all young men with retroperitoneal, supraclavicular or mediastinal mass, an underlying germ cell cancer should always be considered [EBM III: 8–11].

The diagnosis is supported by elevated α-fetoprotein (AFP) or β-human gonadotropin (β-HCG). In case of normal tumour markers and suspicious testicles, the diagnosis of germ cell cancer must be confirmed by tumour biopsy before treatment is initiated. A histology of poorly or undifferentiated carcinoma or poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma is highly suggestive of the presence of germ-cell tumours. Such histologies should undergo immunohistochemical evaluation, including germ cell tumour specific markers and, if possible, the expression of iso-chrome i(12p) which is specific for this tumour entity.

Diagnostic work up for a primary testicular tumour

When assessing the patient’s history the following risk factors for the development of testicular tumours should be addressed: contralateral testicular tumour [EBM IIA: 12–14], undescended testis/cryptorchidism [EBM IIA: 15–17; EBM IIB: 18, 19] and testicular tumour among first-grade relatives, in particular, in the father and/or brothers [EBM IIB: 20–22].
Table 2. Diagnosis and staging of germ cell cancer

**Markers mandatory**
- Seminoma/non-seminoma ⇒ AFP, β-HCG,
- Advanced disease ⇒ LDH in addition to AFP and β-HCG

**Markers optional:**
- For seminoma only ⇒ hPLAP, NSE

**Imaging:**
- Testicular sonography (7.5 MHz transducer)
- Chest X-ray
- CT- scan of abdomen and pelvis
- Chest CT- scan (not mandatory for seminoma stage I)
- MRI of chest and abdomen: only if contraindication for CT scan (e.g. contrast media)
- CT- scan or preferably MRI of CNS: only in advanced disease with intermediate or poor prognosis, or if symptoms
- Bone scan: only if alkaline phosphatase elevated, or symptoms
- PET scan:
  - Identify viable tissue in residual lesion ≥3 cm in advanced seminoma if determined ≥4 weeks after chemotherapy
  - Might be helpful to identify stage IIA in clinical stage I non-seminoma (only in clinical trial)

**Fertility optional:**
- total testosterone, LH, FSH, semen analysis

AFP, α-fetoprotein; CT, computed tomography; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; β-HCG, β-human gonadotropin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LH, luteinising hormone; NSE, neurone-specific enolase; PET, positron emission tomography; PLAP, placental alkaline phosphatase.

Mandatory diagnostic examinations (Table 2) include palpation as well as determination of the serum tumour markers AFP and β-HCG [EBM IIA: 23-29]. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is an important prognostic factor and should therefore also be determined prior to treatment. Neurone-specific enolase (NSE) and placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP) can provide additional information for treatment, monitoring and follow-up of patients with advanced seminoma, but are optional and do not represent mandatory investigations. PLAP is reliable only in non-smokers as smoking interferes with measurement of PLAP. Routine determination of additional serum markers, e.g. LDH isoenzymes, is not recommended.

If ultrasonography of the testes is performed a 7.5 MHz transducer should be used [EBM IV: 23]. Other imaging procedures, i.e. magnetic resonance or positron emission tomography (PET) of the testis, should not routinely be used since their results will not alter the clinical management of the patients.

In every case of suspected testicular malignancy surgical exploration is obligatory. However, in a patient with life-threatening metastatic disease and unequivocally elevated AFP or HCG, chemotherapy must be given without any delay. In this situation orchiectomy can be postponed until the completion of chemotherapy.

**Treatment of the primary tumour**

**Orchiectomy**

As a rule orchiectomy is performed prior to any further treatment [EBM IIB: 30, 31]. Orchiectomy should be timely sched-uled; in particular, there is no need for emergency surgery. In patients with life-threatening metastatic disease, up-front chemotherapy has to be given. Orchiectomy has to be postponed until completion of chemotherapy Table 3. The results of the tumour marker determination should be available prior to surgery and have to be re-evaluated thereafter to determine the half life kinetic (half life time: AFP <7 days; β-HCG <3 days).

Radical orchiectomy is performed through an inguinal incision [EBM IIA: 32, EBM IIB: 33, 34]. The tumour-bearing testicle is resected along with the spermatic cord at the level of the internal inguinal ring. In patients with negative serum tumour markers and small and possibly benign tumours, histological analysis of a frozen section should be performed prior to definitive orchiectomy to allow organ sparing surgery, particularly if a benign tumour is found [EBM IIB: 35, 36].

**Organ preserving surgery**

Organ preserving surgery might be an alternative to orchiectomy in small primary tumours, but this approach is highly experimental and must be limited to clinical trials. However, in patients with synchronous bilateral tumours, metachronous contralateral tumours or solitary testicles with normal pre-operative testosterone levels, organ-preserving surgery is an alternative procedure to orchiectomy and should be discussed with the patient. If organ preserving surgery is considered, the patient should always be treated at a centre with experience in the management of this rare clinical situation [EBM IIB: 37-39]. If organ preserving surgery is performed and TIN histologically documented, adjuvant radiotherapy of the remaining testicular tissue is strongly recommended according to the management strategy for TIN in unilateral tumours [EBM IIB: 14, 37-40].

**Diagnosis and treatment of intratubular germ cell neoplasia (TIN/CIS)**

**Testicular biopsy in patients with gonadal germ cell cancer**

Intratubular germ cell neoplasia is defined as a premalignant testicular germ cell lesion. In patients with TIN, the cumulative probability for the development of a testicular tumour is 70% after 7 years [EBM IIB: 41]. Five per cent of patients with testicular tumours harbour TIN within the contralateral ‘normal’ testicle, detectable by open biopsy in 99% of cases.
Biopsy for detection of TIN in contralateral testis
- 5% of all patients with testicular tumour
- ≥34% TIN in patients with testicular atrophic volume <12 ml and age <30 years
- ≥33% TIN in patients with extragonadal germ cell tumour prior to chemotherapy
- Approximately 10% TIN in patients with extragonadal germ cell tumour after chemotherapy

If untreated, invasive testis tumour develops in 70% of the TIN positive testis within 7 years
99% of TIN detected by random biopsy

Biopsy not mandatory but strongly recommended
- high risk patients (atrophy and young age)
- Extragonadal germ cell tumour (prior chemotherapy, if not prior than ≥6 months after chemotherapy)
- Contralateral testis, one or both testes in extragonadal germ cell tumour.

TIN, intratubular germ cell neoplasia.

(Table 4). For patients with testicular volumes <12 ml and an age <30 years the risk of TIN in the contralateral testis is >34% [EBM IIA: 14, 40, 42; EBM II: 43]. Therefore, the option of a contralateral testicular biopsy should be discussed with the patient, in particular, those at high risk of TIN [EBM IIA: 14, 40]. Contralateral biopsy should be performed preferably at the time of orchiectomy. Biopsies to identify TIN must be preserved in Steive’s or Bouin’s solution (not in formalin!) [EBM IIB: 44, 45].

Testicular biopsy in patients with extragonadal germ cell cancer

About one-third of patients with extragonadal germ cell cancer harbour TIN within one or both testicles which otherwise appear normal. The cumulative risk of developing a metastatic testicular cancer 10 years after diagnosis and treatment of extragonadal germ cell tumours is only 10%, and higher among patients with non-seminomatous histology or retroperitoneal location than among patients with pure seminomatous histology (1.4%) or primary mediastinal location (6.2%) [EBM III: 10]. However, since all patients with extragonadal germ cell cancer will receive platin-based chemotherapy which will eliminate a substantial percentage of TIN, a routinely performed bilateral testicular biopsy is not recommended (Table 4). Nevertheless, if a biopsy is planned in patients with a higher risk for TIN following an extragonadal germ cell tumour, this should be preferably performed prior to chemotherapy [EBM II: 23–29, EBM III: 46, 47; EBM IV: 23]. If performed thereafter, testicular biopsy may be considered not earlier than 6 months after the completion of chemotherapy [EBM II: 23–29, EBM III: 46, 47; EBM IV: 23].

Treatment of TIN (Table 5)

TIN in the contralateral testis or in the affected single testis after organ-preserving surgery. There are three possible options, i.e. orchiectomy, radiotherapy, as well as a surveillance strategy, which should be discussed with the patient. Both orchiectomy or radiotherapy offer definitive treatment of TIN, but will destroy potential residual fertility. Since the interval between diagnosis of TIN and the development of a testis tumour is usually long, a surveillance strategy is justified for patients who want to father children and have residual spermatogenesis at least sufficient for assisted fertilisation. In the case of a surveillance strategy regularly performed evaluation of the TIN-bearing testicle by ultrasound is mandatory.

If radiation treatment is chosen a total dose of 20 Gy (single doses of 2 Gy, five fractions per week) seems to be the most appropriate treatment which is able to safely eliminate all TIN loci [EBM III: 48–51]. In order to preserve testosterone production, radiation doses of <20 Gy have been investigated. Whereas the potential benefit of this strategy is uncertain, a radiation dose <20 Gy may result in lower frequencies of complete TIN eradication [EBM IIB: 52–54].

After radiation treatment regular determination of the serum testosterone levels should be performed since radiation may impair Leydig cell function [EBM IIB: 53]. The same applies to patients after organ preserving surgery. All patients with subnormal testosterone levels and clinical signs of androgen deficiency should be offered hormone replacement treatment.

Treatment of TIN in patients without overt gonadal tumours. TIN may be found incidentally in a testis investigated for other reasons (infertility or extragonadal germ cell tumours). In patients with a normal contralateral testicle, orchiectomy is a reasonable choice for definitive treatment, since radiation treatment of TIN might impair fertility of the contralateral unaffected testicle due to scattered radiation. This particularly applies to patients with an atrophic TIN-bearing testicle.

Treatment of TIN in patients scheduled to receive chemotherapy. If chemotherapy is planned in patients with TIN, radiation treatment should be postponed to avoid extensive damage to Leydig cells by the combination of chemotherapy and irradiation. Furthermore, in about two-thirds of patients TIN will be eradicated by chemotherapy alone [EBM II: 52; EBM III: 50, 55]. Therefore, a further biopsy may be considered in these patients not earlier than 6 months after
chemotherapy has been completed. Technically, a two site biopsy is recommended in this particular situation, because TIN cells will probably be low in number secondary to chemotherapy and thus a single random biopsy would have a high probability of missing the diagnosis [EBM IV: 56]. In cases of TIN persisting after chemotherapy these patients should be managed as described above.

**Histological examination of germ cell cancer**

It is recommended to completely laminate the testicular specimen in transverse sections. Additional sections have to be taken from the spermatic cord. For a full histological examination of the tumour, it is necessary to obtain one block per centimetre of tumour, not less than a total of three blocks, as well as blocks from the peritumoral region and of remote testicular tissue. Further samples have to be taken from the funicular resection margin and from the spermatic cord with 1 cm distance to the testicle. Immunohistology can include cytokeratin for the detection of non-seminomatous elements, PLAP for the identification of TIN, CD31/factor VIII for the identification of vessel endothelium as well as AFP and β-HCG. TIN may be identified using H&E preparations, PLAP staining or by semithin-section technique.

The tumour must be classified according to the World Health Organisation (WHO) classification [57, Table 6]. The category ‘anaplastic seminoma’ is no longer used. The histopathological report must address the following issues (Table 7): localisation and size of the tumour, multiplicity, tumour extension (rete testis, tunica albuginea, tunica vaginalis, epididymis, spermatic cord, scrotum), pT category according to the UICC classification [58], histological type (WHO-ICD-O), the presence or absence of TIN, as well as the presence or absence of invasion of blood or lymphatic vessels [57].

In tumours with pluriform structures, each individual component and its estimated relative proportion should be documented. Similarly, evidence of syncytiotrophoblasts should be indicated in seminoma as well as any additional sarcomatous elements in spermatocytic seminomas, as recommended by WHO. Because of its clinical importance it is highly recommended that all histological specimens are assessed by a pathologist experienced in testis cancer pathology.

**Staging procedures and prognostic classification**

To define the clinical stage of a patient with a gonadal germ cell tumour the TNM classification of the UICC should be used [58]. In addition, most patients with metastatic disease are classified according to the classification of the International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group (IGCCCG) [59] which is also incorporated into the TNM classification. The individual treatment strategy is based on both the TNM classification and the IGCCCG-prognostic factor-based classification which includes histology, location of primary tumour, location of metastases and level of AFP, β-HCG and LDH as prognostic markers to categorise patients into ‘good’, ‘intermediate’ and ‘poor’ prognosis groups (Table 8). For other factors that are important in metastatic disease are age, percentage of embryonal carcinoma in relation to the total.

**Table 6. WHO classification of germ cell tumours of the testis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumours of one histological type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seminoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spermatocytic seminoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryonal carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyembryoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teratoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Mature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Immature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o With malignant transformation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolk sac tumour (endodermal sinus tumour)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choriocarcinoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumours of more than one histological type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryonal carcinoma with teratoma (teratocarcinoma)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choriocarcinoma and any other types (specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other combinations (specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHO, World Health Organisation.

**Table 7. Histopathological report of testicular tumour**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localisation</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>Extension of tumour (e.g. rete testis involvement, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT category (UICC)</td>
<td>Histopathological type (WHO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In seminoma: presence of syncytiotrophoblasts</td>
<td>In pluriform tumours description of each individual component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of vascular invasion</td>
<td>Presence of TIN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIN, intratubular germ cell neoplasia; WHO, World Health Organisation.

patients presenting with primary extragonadal germ cell tumours, a different prognostic outcome model has been developed [11] but is presently not routinely used.

**Prognostic factors in low volume disease**

Seminoma clinical stage (CS) I. Although no prognostic classification system has been prospectively validated so far, there is evidence that the size of the primary tumour (<4 cm versus ≥4 cm) and the infiltration of the rete testis are independent prognostic indicators for occult metastases [EBM IIIB: 60]. These histopathological parameters should therefore be documented. Patient age (<34 versus ≥34 years) and the presence of vascular invasion (VI) are of equivocal prognostic relevance [EBM IIIB: 60–62; EBM III: 62, 63].

Non-seminoma CS I. Infiltration of the primary tumour by venous blood vessels or lymphatic infiltration (vascular invasion, VI) are the most important prognostic indicators for occult metastases and should be assessed in all patients [EBM IIB: 64; EBM IIA: 65–69; EBM IIB: 70–73; EBM III: 73–80]. Without adjuvant treatment 48% of patients with VI will develop metastases while only 14–22% of those without will relapse [EBM IIB: 72]. The proliferation rate, as well as the percentage of embryonal carcinoma in relation to the total.
tumour volume, are further prognostic indicators [EBM IIB: 68, 70, 72, 77; EBM III: 75, 76, 78–82]. However, these markers do not contribute independent prognostic information in addition to the factor of VI.

**Non-seminoma pathological stage (PS) IIA/B.** If no adjuvant chemotherapy after surgical resection is administered [EBM III: 83, 84] the volume of the retroperitoneal mass [<2 cm (PS IIA) versus 2–5 cm (PS IIB)] and the presence of vascular invasion within the primary tumour are independent prognostic indicators for relapse.

**Imaging procedures**

Information regarding validation of staging investigations using imaging techniques are not based on prospective phase III studies, so that meaningful EBM graduation cannot be given [85].

Computerized tomography (CT) of the chest, abdomen and pelvis are required as initial staging investigations. CT of the chest may be omitted for patients with testicular seminoma presenting without retroperitoneal tumour mass. Oral and intravenous contrast media are mandatory [86–91]. For the evaluation of the lung and mediastinum, chest CT scan is more sensitive than plain X-ray films [90–92]. However, it should be noted that pulmonary/pleural nodules of <1 cm can represent a false positive finding in CT scans [78, 88, 90–93]. Furthermore, CT scans of the abdomen and pelvis might give false-negative results in up to 30% of cases due to difficulties in the interpretation of lymph nodes based on morphology and size alone. Therefore, the differentiation between clinical stages I and IIA is unreliable [87–89, 94, 95]. A detailed description of the location, number and size of lymph nodes should be provided in the radiology report.

Ultrasonography of the retroperitoneum is less sensitive than CT [87]. Magnetic resonance tomography (MRT) scans of the abdomen and pelvis do not provide additional information and should be restricted to patients to whom intravenous contrast media cannot be given [96, 97]. At the present time, it is controversial whether the sensitivity of PET is superior to the sensitivity of CT [98]. Whereas those trials are desirable, PET scans are not recommended outside clinical trials as part of routine initial staging procedures [99–104]. Bone scans should be obtained in patients with elevated levels of alkaline phosphatase or if bone metastases are clinically suspected.

Imaging of the brain by CT, or preferably by MRT, is required in patients with clinical signs potentially indicating brain metastases [EBM IV: 105, 106]. This might be expected especially in patients with metastatic disease and ‘intermediate’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prognosis</th>
<th>Proportion of patients</th>
<th>5-year survival</th>
<th>Non-seminoma</th>
<th>Seminoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>• Testis or primary extragonadal retroperitoneal tumour and low markers</td>
<td>• Any primary localisation and no non-pulmonary visceral metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o AFP &lt;1.000 ng/ml</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o and β-HCG &lt;1000 ng/ml (&lt;5000 IU/l)</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o and LDH &lt;1.5×normal level</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>• Testis or primary extragonadal retroperitoneal tumour and intermediate markers</td>
<td>• Any primary localisation and presence of non-pulmonary visceral metastases (liver, CNS, bone, intestinum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o AFP 1000–10 000 ng/ml</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o and/or β-HCG 1000–10 000 ng/ml (5000–50 000 IU/l)</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o and/or LDH 1.5–10×upper normal level</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>• Primary mediastinal germ cell tumour with or without further risk factors</td>
<td>Any primary localisation and presence of non-pulmonary visceral metastases (liver, CNS, bone, intestinum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o testis or primary retroperitoneal tumour</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o and presence of non-pulmonary visceral metastases</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o and/or ‘high markers’</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o AFP &gt;10 000 ng/ml</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o and/or β-HCG &gt;10 000 ng/ml (50 000 IU/l)</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o and/or LDH &gt;10×normal level</td>
<td>o any marker level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IGCCCG, International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group; AFP, α-fetoprotein; β-HCG, β-human gonadotropin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; CNS, central nervous system.
or ‘poor’ prognosis according to the IGCCCG classification [59].

Treatment-associated fertility issues and sperm banking

In patients of reproductive age, baseline fertility assessment should be performed including the determination of total testosterone level, luteinising hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and semen analysis. The patient must be informed about the possibility of cryoconservation. This procedure should be preferably carried out before orchiectomy [EBM II: 53; EBM III: 51, 107, 108; EBM IV: 109–111]. Patients with bilateral testicular tumours or a testicular tumour with contralateral TIN and with severe oligo-, azo- or aspermia may be informed about the option of testicular sperm extraction [EBM IV: 111–116]. However, this procedure may not be available in all European countries.

Testosterone replacement

In patients with bilateral orchiectomy lifelong testosterone replacement must be offered. After unilateral orchiectomy the necessity for testosterone supplementation depends on testosterone serum levels and clinical symptoms [EBM I-IV: 117].

Contraception

Although no increased risk of malformation in children born after the end of treatment has been reported, contraception throughout treatment as well as for 1 year of follow-up is recommended [EBM III: 118]. Patients who wish to father children should be followed with hormone and semen analysis for 1–3 years after completion of treatment.

Treatment of patients with seminoma CS I

Despite normal CT scans, a 20% risk of clinical occult metastatic disease in locoregional lymph nodes with subsequent disease progression remains if no adjuvant treatment is applied after orchiectomy [EBM III: 60, 119, 120]. Nevertheless, the cure rate in CS I seminoma patients is almost 100% and can be achieved with three strategies: adjuvant radiation treatment, surveillance strategy with administration of irradiation or chemotherapy in case of relapse, or adjuvant chemotherapy with single-agent carboplatin [EBM IIB: 121–123]. Whereas adjuvant irradiation or surveillance are the current standard options, the third option of one cycle of adjuvant carboplatin has also recently been proven in a prospective randomised trial (EBM IB: 123a).

Adjuvant radiotherapy

Adjuvant radiotherapy is currently the most frequently used standard treatment resulting in a relapse rate of 3–4%. Almost all of these recurrences are located outside the irradiated area, mostly in the pelvis (1.7%) or close to the border of the irradiation fields [EBM IB: 124, 125; EBM IIA: 126, 127]. The target volume of irradiation includes the infradiaphragmal paraaortal/paracaval lymphatics [EBM IB: 124, 125; EBM IIA: 126, 127]. The upper and lower field margins are defined by the upper edge of thoracic vertebra 11 and the lower edge of lumbar vertebra 5. Ipsilateral to the primary tumour the lateral field margin should be extended to the renal hilum; the contralateral margin has to include the processus transversus of the lumbar vertebrae. The total dose is 20 Gy, applied in single doses of 2.0 Gy each, five fractions per week, based on the results of the recently completed prospective randomised trial of the Medical Research Council (MRC) which compared 30 Gy with 20 Gy [EBM IB: 125]. The use of a linear accelerator is mandatory. An extension of the irradiation field to the ipsilateral iliacal, inguinal or scrotal region in the event of prior maldescensus testis, inguinal or scrotal violations or pT3/4 primary tumours is probably not indicated since there is no evidence for a different treatment outcome [EBM IIA: 32; EBM III: 128].

Limited target volume and doses of modern adjuvant radiotherapy substantially add to a further reduction of treatment-related side-effects, such as impairment of fertility due to scatter radiation doses to the remaining testicle [EBM IB: 124; EBM IIB: 129]. Therefore, radiation effects on the patient’s spermatogenesis due to scatter doses, which is always <2 Gy, seem to be unlikely and do not require shielding of the contralateral testes [EBM IB: 124; EBM IIB: 129].

Adjuvant radiation therapy may be associated with mild acute side-effects (usually WHO grade 1–II), predominantly in the form of gastrointestinal symptoms [EBM IB: 126]. Relapse after radiation will occur in 3–4% of patients and is nearly always located outside the radiation field [EBM IIB: 120; EBM IIA: 126; EBM IIB: 130, 131]. However, adjuvant radiation represents an unnecessary treatment for ~80% of patients who would otherwise remain free of relapse even without any adjuvant treatment. Furthermore, compared to no adjuvant treatment there is a small risk of secondary malignancies after radiation therapy [EBM III: 132–134]. However, there are no data currently available quantifying the risks of secondary malignancies if modern radiation techniques are applied with reduced doses and limited target volumes. The risk of radiation-induced impairment of fertility is discussed controversially [EBM III: 51, 107]; however, its effect may be minimal.

Surveillance

A surveillance strategy may be used as an alternative to adjuvant irradiation [EBM IIA: 126, 127; EBM IIB: 130, 131]. Surveillance takes into account the fact that 80% of patients do not need any adjuvant treatment after orchiectomy and are therefore overtreated by adjuvant irradiation. However, the results of a retrospective meta-analysis of patients managed by surveillance indicates a higher risk of relapse if the tumour size is >4 cm or the tumour has invaded the rete testis [EBM IIB: 120; EBM III: 135]. Relapses will occur predominantly (in 97% of cases) in the retroperitoneal or high iliacal lymph nodes [EBM IIB: 61, 120, 136, 137] and may occur as late as 10 years after orchiectomy, or even later [EBM IIB: 61, 120; EBM III: 138]. This requires prolonged follow-up of the patients. Treatment of those patients who relapse is usually
more intensive [EBM IIB: 119; EBM III: 138]. Due to the higher risk of relapse patients managed by surveillance may experience greater psychological stress [EBM III: 139].

**Adjuvant carboplatin chemotherapy**

The third alternative is adjuvant chemotherapy with one cycle of carboplatin AUC7. The analysis of the large MRC/EORTC trial comparing adjuvant treatment with either radiation or one cycle of single agent carboplatin AUC7 revealed after a median of 4 years follow-up no significant difference in relapse rate, time to relapse and survival (EBM IB: 123a). The pattern of relapse differs (more retroperitoneal lymph node relapse with carboplatin versus more pelvic lymph node relapse with adjuvant irradiation) but the less time to complete carboplatin and the reduction of contralateral testis tumour (2 versus 10 patients) could offer a significant advantage over a full course of irradiation.

Taken together, all three options result in a different relapse rate (3–4% for irradiation or adjuvant carboplatin versus 15–20% for surveillance) but a high cure rate of nearly 100% if applied properly. All three treatment options, adjuvant radiotherapy, adjuvant carboplatin and surveillance, are acceptable standard strategies for the management of patients with CS I seminoma (Figure 1). The individual strategy could be selected on the basis of the risk factors defined by Warde et al. (60); however, this risk adapted approach —e.g. adjuvant treatment for patients at high risk for relapse —is still under prospective evaluation and therefore not unanimously

![Figure 1. Treatment algorithm after orchiectomy according to individual risk factors in patients with seminoma clinical stage (CS) I.](image-url)
recommended as a routine strategy out of clinical trials. The individual decision must be based on a discussion with the patient, taking into account the above mentioned benefits and disadvantages, as well as the individual situation of the patient and/or treatment centre.

### Treatment of patients with seminoma CS IIA/B

The standard treatment in CS IIA/B seminoma is radiotherapy. With modern radiation techniques this treatment results in a relapse-free survival at 6 years of 95% for stage IIA and 89% for stage IIB [EBM IIB: 140]. Overall survival is close to 100% [EBM IIB: 140–142; EBM III: 142, 143]. The target volume includes the paraaortal and ipsilateral iliacal lymphatics. The upper field margin is the upper border of thoracic vertebra 11, the lower field margin is the upper border of the acetabulum. In CS IIA, the lateral field margins for the paraaortic fields are identical to those for CS I. In CS IIB the lateral field margins are individually modified according to the extension of the lymph nodes with a safety margin of 1.0–1.5 cm. In CS IIA and CS IIB radiation doses of 30 and 36 Gy, respectively, are administered homogeneously with single doses of 2 Gy at five fractions per week. To reduce the risk of impairment of fertility due to scatter radiation dose, shielding of the remaining testicle during irradiation is mandatory. Three months post radiation therapy, abdominal and pelvic CT scans should be performed to document the treatment effect [EBM IIB: 144; EBM III: 145–149] and as a basis for follow up.

Any extension of the radiation target volume to the contra-lateral iliacal, inguinal or scrotal region for prior maldescensus testis, inguinal or scrotal violation or pT3/4 primary tumours is not indicated since there is no unequivocal evidence for a different treatment outcome if such an extension is made [EBM IIA: 32; EBM III: 143]. The role of combined radiation and chemotherapy is currently under investigation [EBM IIB: 142, 150] and should therefore not be used outside clinical trials.

Chemotherapy with three cycles of standard-dose cisplatin, etoposide and bleomycin (BEP), or four cycles of EP represent an alternative treatment for patients not willing to undergo radiotherapy, in particular, in the case of larger retroperitoneal disease (Figure 2). Single-agent carboplatin, even using a dosage according to area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) of 7, has no advantage over radiation treatment and is probably associated with a higher risk of locoregional or systemic relapse [EBM IIB: 151].

### Treatment of patients with non-seminoma CS I

The cure rate of patients with non-seminoma (NS) CS I is 99% independent of the strategy used if performed properly [EBM IIB: 72, 152]. In the case of surveillance, the relapse rate is 27–30% when considering a long-term follow up of ≤20 years [EBM IIB: 77, 152]. Relapses occur in the retroperitoneum in 54–78% of patients, in the lung in 13–31% of patients, but are very rarely in more than one visceral organ [EBM IIB: 152; EBM III: 153].

Vascular invasion (VI) of the primary tumour is the most important prognostic indicator for relapse. Patients with VI have a risk of 48% of developing metastatic disease [EBM IB: 64; EBM IIB: 72] whereas only 14–22% of patients without VI will relapse [EBM IB: 66; EBM IIA: 66; EBM IIB: 68, 77]. A risk adapted strategy based on the presence or absence of VI is the recommended standard procedure (Figure 3).

Patients with a low risk of relapse (no VI) should be managed by surveillance, although standardised recommendations for follow up have not yet been defined. With this approach 78–86% of patients do not need any further treatment after orchiectomy [EBM IIA: 66; EBM IIB: 68, 77]. If a patient under surveillance relapses, the administration of chemotherapy will result in a cure rate close to 100%. Only in circumstances not suitable for surveillance is adjuvant chemotherapy with two cycles of BEP recommended. Nerve sparing retroperitoneal lymph node dissection (NS-RPLND) is an option, if conditions against both standard options, surveillance or adjuvant chemotherapy, exist.

Patients with a high risk of relapse (VI present) may be considered for adjuvant chemotherapy with two cycles of BEP. With this approach 97% of patients will remain relapse-free and the overall cure rate is >99% [EBM IIB: 72]. The disadvantage of adjuvant treatment in high-risk patients is that half of the patients who receive adjuvant BEP would not have required chemotherapy at all and may be unnecessarily exposed to the side-effects of chemotherapy [EBM IB: 154; EBM IIA: 155; EBM III: 156, 157], a possible transient decrease in fertility [EBM IIB: 158; EBM III: 159] and possibly a small risk of
secondary malignancies, as reported from patients receiving higher doses of chemotherapy [EBM III: 107, 132–134]. However, surveillance in high-risk patients with a relapse rate of 48% exposes patients to psychological distress [EBM III: 139]. Relapses might be detected later and subsequent treatment is more intensive. Nevertheless, if surveillance is carried out properly >98% of these patients will still have a good prognosis at the time of relapse and are cured by chemotherapy.

In those rare patients unwilling to undergo a surveillance strategy or adjuvant chemotherapy, a nerve sparing lymphadenectomy (NS-RPLND) may be performed [EBM IIA: 160; EBM III: 161, 162]. However, patients who choose NS-RPLND will be unnecessarily exposed to surgery in 50% of cases with the risk of surgery associated side-effects [EBM IIB: 152], in particular, a 6–8% risk of retrograde ejaculation [EBM IIB: 152, EBM III: 163, 164]. In addition, NS-RPLND does not completely eliminate the risk of recurrence and relapses will occur in ~10% of cases with almost all of these relapses outside of the retroperitoneum being located in the lungs. Late relapses are rare [EBM III: 138].

**Treatment of patients with non-seminoma CS II A/B**

The cure rate for CS IIA and IIB non-seminoma is close to 98%. Patients with abnormal marker levels of AFP, β-HCG and/or LDH in CS IIA/B are treated according to the algorithms for patients with advanced disease, according to IGCCCG recommendations [59].

Patients with retroperitoneal lymph nodes 1–2 cm, suspected to be CS IIA, without marker elevation represent a particular problem. Two options should be considered: staging-RPLND or surveillance. With RPLND the pathological stage could be verified immediately; if surveillance is chosen, follow up at short intervals, e.g. 6 weeks, is indicated to document whether the lesion either grows, remains stable or

---

**Figure 3.** Treatment algorithm after orchiectomy according to individual risk factors in patients with non-seminoma clinical stage (CS) I.
becomes smaller. A growing lesion indicates malignant retroperitoneal disease and in this case treatment should start; whereas a shrinking lesion is likely to be not of malignant origin (Figure 4).

RPLND should be performed using a nerve-sparing technique [EBM III: 165]. However, depending on the experience of the surgeon, RPLND may result in loss of antegrade ejaculation in 5–32% of patients despite a nerve-sparing approach [EBM IIA: 166; EBM III: 155, 157, 159]. Other morbidity related to surgery may occur in up to 10% of patients [EBM III: 164]. Surgical exploration at the time of RPLND will reveal a pathological stage (PS) I in 12–13% of patients [EBM IIA: 166]. In cases of PS IIA/B, further options are adjuvant chemotherapy or surveillance [EBM IB: 167; EBM IIA: 168; EBM III: 169, 170]. In cases of adjuvant chemotherapy the recurrence rate is 0–7%. Relapses occur almost exclusively outside the retroperitoneum [EBM IB: 167; EBM IIA: 166; EBM III: 161, 170]. Yet chemotherapy is necessary in only 30% and 50% of patients in PS IIA and PS IIB, respectively [EBM IB: 167; EBM IIA: 168; EBM III: 161, 169, 170]. Therefore, adjuvant chemotherapy in all patients represents an overtreatment in 50–70% of patients with the resulting treatment-related toxicity and possible late sequelae [EBM IB: 154; EBM IIA: 171; EBM IIB: 158; EBM III: 132–134, 154, 172].

Alternatively, patients with stage IIA/B and marker negative disease may be offered an ultrasonography guided biopsy, and in those patients with a positive biopsy for undifferentiated tumour three cycles of BEP will be the treatment of choice.

As an alternative to RPLND, compliant patients with non-seminoma CS IIA can be managed by surveillance (Figure 4). If progressive disease occurs without a corresponding increase of the tumour markers AFP or β-HCG explorative surgery should be performed. Patients with increase of the tumour markers AFP or β-HCG require chemotherapy with BEP according to the treatment algorithm for patients with metastatic disease and IGCCCG recommendations [EBM IIA: 166; EBM III: 173–175]. The possible contribution of PET-scan in this situation has not been properly investigated.

**Treatment of patients with advanced disease**

For patients with ‘good’ prognosis disease, according to IGCCCG criteria [59], standard treatment is three cycles of BEP. In cases of contraindications against bleomycin four cycles of cisplatin and etoposide (PE) can be given [EBM IA: 59; EBM IB: 176, 177; EBM IIA: 178; EBM III: 179]. The efficacy of BEP, given for 5 days with etoposide 100 mg/m² and cisplatin 20 mg/m² each day is equivalent to BEP with etoposide 165 mg/m² applied during 3 days and cisplatin 50 mg/m² during 2 days [EBM IB: 180]. However, BEP given over 3 days has increased long-term toxicity including ototoxicity, peripheral neurotoxicity or Raynaud syndrome when four cycles are applied [EBM IB: 181, 182; EBM IIA: 178].

![Figure 4. Treatment options in patients with non-seminoma clinical stage IIA. Follow-up only if close surveillance is guaranteed with determinations of tumour markers (every 6 weeks) and computed tomography in short intervals. BEP, cisplatin, etoposide and bleomycin; CS, clinical stage; NS-RPLND, nerve sparing retroperitoneal lymph node dissection; PS, pathological stage.](image-url)
Table 9. Chemotherapy protocols for treatment of advanced germ cell cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cisplatin, mg/m² (30 min.–inf.)</th>
<th>Etoposide, mg/m² (30–60 min.-inf.)</th>
<th>Ifosfamide*, mg/m² (1 h–inf.)</th>
<th>Bleomycin, mg/m² (IV bolus)</th>
<th>q day</th>
<th>No. of cycles/prognosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BEP, PEB</strong></td>
<td>20 d 1–5</td>
<td>100 d 1–5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>d 1, 8, 15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“5 days”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PE, PEB</strong></td>
<td>50 d 1, 2</td>
<td>165 d 1, 2, 3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>d 1, 8, 15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“3 days”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PE</strong></td>
<td>20 d 1–5</td>
<td>100 d 1–5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PEI, VIP</strong></td>
<td>20 d 1–5</td>
<td>75 d 1–5</td>
<td>1200 d 1–5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22–29</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mesna: 400 mg IV before the day 1 ifosfamide dose and then 1,200 mg/ day by continous IV infusion on day 1 to 5 (120 hours).

**PEI/ VIP only if contraindication against Bleomycin.

BEP, cisplatin, etoposide and bleomycin; PEI, cisplatin, etoposide and ifosfamide; VIP, etoposide, ifosfamide and cisplatin.

Therefore, the original 5-day BEP regimen for four cycles remains the standard treatment in ‘intermediate’ and ‘poor’ prognosis patients. Chemotherapy should be given without dose reductions in 22-day intervals. Postponing treatment, i.e. maximum of 3 days for each decision, should only be considered in cases of existing fever, neutrophils <500/µL or platelets <100 000/µL at day 1 of a subsequent cycle.

There is no indication for routine prophylactic application of haematopoietic growth factors, such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF). However, if serious infectious complications have occurred during one preceding chemotherapy cycle, prophylactic administration of G-CSF is recommended in subsequent cycles [EBM II: 183, 184]. Since dose reductions due to neutropenia should be avoided, prophylactic G-CSF should also be used if prolonged neutropenia occurs for maintenance of the required dose intensity.

With the introduction of an ‘intermediate’ prognosis group by the IGCCCG classification a group of patients has been defined who may reach a 5-year survival of 80%. Data of a meta-analysis support four cycles of BEP as standard treatment in these patients [EBM IA: 59; EBM IB: 181]. In order to design more effective treatment, all patients with an ‘intermediate’ prognosis should be treated in prospective trials [EBM IV: 185].

For patients with ‘poor’ prognosis standard treatment consists of four cycles of BEP. Four cycles of etoposide, ifosfamide and cisplatin (VIP) are equally effective compared with BEP, but cause more acute myelotoxicity without offering benefits and are not recommended as standard [EBM IB: 186]. In the IGCCCG analysis, 5-year progression-free and overall survival were 41% and 48%, respectively. In individual patients, VIP may be preferred to BEP in order to avoid possible bleomycin-induced lung injury in already pulmonary compromised patients. Patients in a poor general condition (<50% according to the Karnofsky scale) with extended liver-, pulmonary- or central nervous system (CNS)-involvement may benefit from a short course of reduced-dose chemotherapy before a full-dose chemotherapy cycle is started, e.g. 2–3 days of cisplatinum/etoposide.

It has not yet been proven that high-dose chemotherapy plus autologous haematopoietic stem cell support given as first-line therapy increases survival. Therefore, high-dose chemotherapy must not be used outside of a prospective clinical trial [EBM II: 187, 188; EBM III: 189].

To maintain the highest chance of cure, ‘poor’ prognosis patients should be transferred to a specialised centre without any delay to benefit from optimal interdisciplinary management and supportive care [EBM III: 1].

Treatment of patients with brain metastases

Approximately 10% of all patients with advanced germ cell cancer present with brain metastases (i.e. 1–2% of all patients with testicular cancer). At relapse, metastases in the CNS usually occur as part of a systemic relapse and very rarely as an isolated relapse after previously successful treatment. Patients who present with brain metastases at initial diagnosis have a long-term survival probability of 30–40%, whereas patients who develop metastases during first-line treatment or in the context of recurrent disease outside of the brain have a 5-year survival rate of only 2–5%. The best prognostic group consists of patients with a solitary brain lesion discovered by initial staging investigations [EBM III: 105, 106]. The presence of metastatic choriocarcinoma indicates a poor prognosis independent from any form of treatment (unpublished data of GTCSG CNS Working Party) [EBM III: 105, 106, 190].

The optimal sequence of treatment modalities (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, operation) has not yet been finally defined. Curatively intended chemotherapy is necessary in all patients with brain metastases [EBM III: 190]. In a multivariate analysis cranial irradiation in addition to systemic chemotherapy improved the overall prognosis of patients who present with a brain metastasis [EBM III: 191] in contrast to earlier reports demonstrating no benefit [EBM III: 106].

It has also not yet been defined whether consolidating irradiation of the CNS is required after complete remission has been achieved by chemotherapy alone. Radiotherapy therefore should only be applied in controlled clinical study protocols according to the procedure in other solid tumours, e.g. applied as whole brain irradiation (single dose not exceeding 2 Gy) concomitantly with combination cisplatin-based chemotherapy [EBM II: 192].
Whether secondary resection of a solitary residual mass is required after chemotherapy (MRT scans are mandatory for detection of micrometastases) remains unclear and depends on the extent of systemic disease, the resectability and the location of the residual lesion, as well as on the initial histology of the primary tumour. However, surgery may be considered in individual patients with solitary brain metastases.

**Monitoring of treatment result and further treatment**

The prognostic value of a transient increase of tumour markers after initiation of first-line treatment as well as a delayed decrease of tumour markers according to their usual half-life is equivocal [EBM III: 193]. In patients with slow tumour marker decline and stable or regressive radiologically documented...
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**Figure 5.** Treatment algorithm for advanced germ cell tumor.
tumour manifestation, chemotherapy should be completed with three to four cycles, depending on the initial stage [EBM IIA: 59, 194, 195]. Patients with a documented tumour marker increase during chemotherapy must be switched to salvage treatment even if the tumour marker progression does not coincide with radiological progression. It is very important that the marker is measured directly before each treatment cycle, otherwise the marker can be falsely increased due to release of markers from necrotic tumour cells (Figure 5).

Patients with a marker plateau at a low level after four cycles of BEP or VIP should be followed in short intervals for further decline. In addition, all residual radiological lesions should be resected if possible [EBM III: 196]. Salvage chemotherapy should only be initiated if an unequivocal tumour marker increase is observed (Figure 5).

Monitoring tumour markers prior to each treatment cycle is mandatory. Radiological restaging has to be performed after completion of first-line chemotherapy. In patients with slow marker decline or clinical evidence of tumour progression radiological restaging should be considered earlier. In patients with unequivocally progressive tumours early modification of the first-line treatment strategy is required. Progression with tumour markers despite first-line chemotherapy requires the immediate initiation of salvage treatment [EBM IIB: 188, 197]. However, patients who experience tumour marker progression during or within 4 weeks of cisplatin-based first-line treatment have a very poor prognosis [EBM IIB: 198].

If progression occurs with growing metastases, despite declining tumour markers, the presence of a ‘growing teratoma syndrome’ is highly probable, and complete resection of all tumour manifestations is required after the end of first-line chemotherapy [EBM III: 199]. Only in the event of rapid radiological tumour progression should immediate surgery be performed before the completion of chemotherapy [EBM IV:].

Resection of residual masses should be performed in patients with tumour markers persisting at low levels after completion of first-line treatment. Salvage chemotherapy is required for any documented increase in tumour markers after first-line treatment [EBM III: 182].

**Resection of residual tumour**

**Seminoma**

Post-chemotherapy as well as post-radiotherapy residual masses in seminoma patients should not necessarily be resected, irrespective of their size, but should be closely followed by imaging investigations and tumour marker determinations [EBM IIB: 200; EBM III: 201–204]. A positive result of PET scans, if performed more than 4 weeks after chemotherapy, is a strong and reliable predictor of viable tumour tissue in patients with residual lesions >3 cm [EBM IIB: 205, 206]. In patients with progressive disease of the residual mass after first-line chemotherapy thorough histological evaluation and salvage chemotherapy after confirmation of seminoma should be performed [EBM III: 207; EBM IV: 208].

**Non-seminoma**

Patients who achieve complete remission, i.e. negative tumour markers and residual lesions ≤1 cm after chemotherapy, post-chemotherapy RPLND is not required [EBM IIB: 209, 210; EBM III: 211]. Neither any imaging procedures (including PET) nor any prognostic model have been able to reliably predict residual mass histology [EBM IIB: 212; EBM III: 196, 213–220]. Therefore, in patients with a residual mass >1 cm and normalisation of tumour markers the residual masses have to be resected [EBM IIA: 221, 222; EBM IIB: 223; EBM III: 211, 224, 225]. Histological findings in subsequent surgery for residual masses after first-line chemotherapy will reveal necrosis, mature teratoma and vital cancer in about 50%, 35% and 15% of patients, respectively. The incidence of vital cancer may be even higher after salvage chemotherapy. If technically feasible, all residual masses should be resected. In patients with residual masses at multiples sites, an individual decision should be made regarding the number and extension of resections [EBM III: 196]. Decisions on the extent of surgery should be based on the risk of relapse of an individual patient and on quality-of-life issues [EBM IIA: 222; EBM III: 215].

Resection of residual tumours outside the abdomen or lung should also be considered on an individual basis, since a discordance in histology is found in 35–50% of patients [EBM III: 217, 226]. If the histology of the primarily resected mass is only necrosis, both surveillance of the remaining residual lesions, as well as their complete resection, are acceptable therapeutic options. Due to the high treatment-related acute morbidity, surgery of residual masses should be performed only at specialised centres.

Post-chemotherapy laparoscopic RPLND remains experimental and should not be used outside clinical trials [EBM III: 227–229].

**Consolidation chemotherapy after secondary surgery**

After resection of necrosis or mature teratoma no further treatment is required. In cases of vital carcinoma or immature teratoma the role of further consolidation chemotherapy is equivocal (cave: cumulative dose of bleomycin). A retrospective analysis demonstrated an improved progression-free survival with adjuvant chemotherapy, but failed to show an improvement in overall survival. Therefore a ‘wait-and-watch’ strategy may also be justified [EBM III: 230]. Patients in the ‘good’ prognosis group, according to the IGCCCG classification, with complete resection of residual masses and with <10% vital tumour cells in the resected specimens have a favourable outcome even without adjuvant chemotherapy. If the completely resected tumour presents >10% of viable cancer, or if completeness of the resection is in doubt, consolidation chemotherapy might be justified.

The prognosis is markedly worse in patients after second- or third-line chemotherapy whose resected residual masses contain vital undifferentiated carcinoma. In these patients postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy does not improve survival and is therefore not indicated [EBM III: 218, 224].
Salvage chemotherapy for relapsed and/or refractory disease

In patients who relapse or progress after first-line treatment, the localisation and histology of the primary tumour, the response to first-line treatment, the duration of previous remissions, as well as the level of the tumour markers AFP and β-HCG at the time of relapse or progression are known prognostic indicators [EBM II: 198; EBM III: 193].

Seminoma

The majority of patients who relapse after first-line radiotherapy have a cure rate >90% and should receive cisplatin-based chemotherapy comparable to the treatment strategy in advanced seminoma (Figure 1). Conventional-dose cisplatin-based salvage chemotherapy after first-line therapy with BEP will result in long-term remissions in ≤50% of patients [EBM II: 231]. Regimens of choice are four cycles of cisplatin, etoposide and ifosfamide (PEI), etoposide, ifosfamide and cisplatin (VIP), vinblastine, ifosfamide and cisplatin (VeIP) or paclitaxel, ifosfamide and cisplatin (TIP) [EBM II: 232]. No conventional-dose salvage regimen has shown unequivocal superiority so far over another conventional-dose cisplatin-containing salvage regimen [EBM II: 233, 234]. It is currently impossible to decide whether cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy is sufficient or whether high-dose chemotherapy is needed [EBM II: 235]. For this reason these patients should be treated at experienced centres and within prospective randomised trials.

Non-seminoma

The majority of patients with recurrent disease after surgery have a good prognosis with a cure rate >90% and should receive cisplatin-based chemotherapy comparable to the treatment strategy in advanced non-seminoma. Salvage treatment after first-line chemotherapy for metastatic disease consists of four cycles of PEI, VIP, VeIP or TIP. Paclitaxel combinations have been favoured by some groups because of single-agent activity of paclitaxel in phase II trials in refractory patients. In addition, a high response rate of >50% to TIP was observed in a small group of selected patients with good prognostic features (EBM: IIB: 232). Results from randomized trials specifically addressing the role of paclitaxel are not yet available. [EBM II: 232]. Conventional-dose cisplatin-based salvage chemotherapy can achieve long-term remission in 15–40% of patients, depending on individual risk factors [EBM II: 198, 233; EBM III: 193]. No conventional-dose salvage regimen has shown unequivocal superiority so far over another conventional-dose cisplatin-containing salvage regimen [EBM II: 233, 234]. The use of conventional-dose combination chemotherapy with more than three agents increases toxicity without improving overall treatment outcome [EBM II: 234, 236].

Depending on the presence or absence of adverse prognostic factors, the results of salvage therapy after first-line cisplatin-based treatment are unsatisfactory [EBM II: 198; EBM III: 193]. Phase II trials indicate a 10% improvement in survival with early intensification of salvage treatment using high-dose chemotherapy [EBM II: 235]. However, no advantage was observed according to the results of a large randomised trial [EBM IB: 237]. Therefore, all of these patients should be entered into ongoing multicentre studies and should be referred to centres experienced in the treatment of relapsed and/or refractory patients. Options for third-line chemotherapy are combinations such as paclitaxel–gemcitabine, gemcitabine–oxaliplatin or paclitaxel–gemcitabine–cisplatin, preferably within clinical trials.

Salvage surgery

Residual masses after salvage chemotherapy should be resected within 4–6 weeks after the normalisation of tumour markers or after a low-level marker plateau has been reached. Only in the case of progressive tumour markers after salvage treatment and lack of other chemotherapeutic options should surgical resection of residual tumours (sometimes referred to as ‘desperation surgery’) be considered, and if complete resection of all tumour manifestations seems feasible. With this approach long-term survival may be achieved in about 25% of patients [EBM III: 198, 238–243], particularly in those patients who have unresponsive late relapse, moderately elevated AFP and localised (mainly retroperitoneal) metastatic deposits. Salvage surgery should not be attempted in rapidly progressive disease with increased β-HCG levels.

Late relapse (>2 years after end of first-line treatment)

If technically feasible, patients with late relapse and negative tumour markers should undergo immediate radical surgery to completely resect all mature teratoma or secondary non-germ cell cancer. Due to the poor results of chemotherapy in patients with late relapses, patients with small and completely resectable lesions should also undergo surgery in the case of elevated tumour markers [EBM III: 138, 244].

If the lesions are not completely resectable, biopsies should be obtained for histological assessment and salvage chemotherapy should be initiated. If the patient responds to salvage chemotherapy, secondary surgery should be conducted whenever possible.

In case of unresectable but localised refractory disease radiotherapy can be considered.

Follow up

The follow up of patients with germ cell cancer consists of regular clinical examinations, monitoring of serum tumour markers and imaging investigations. Clinicians and patients should be aware of the possibility of an occurrence of a contralateral second testicular primary. The risk depends on exposure to chemotherapy with 1–3% after chemotherapy, and up to 5% if no chemotherapy was given. In the case of biopsy proven but untreated TIN in the contralateral testis, the cumulative probability for the development of a testicular tumour is 70% after 7 years [EBM II: 41]. All tumours
should be detected at an early stage by regular control including self examination of the testis. If regular ultrasounds of the contralateral testicle are performed one should be aware that microlithiasis is very common after chemotherapy and should not be construed as an abnormality. Testosterone, LH and FSH levels may also be evaluated regularly.

Large differences exist in the risk of recurrence or progression among patients with germ cell cancer due to differences in the stage at initial presentation and individual management decisions. The intensity of follow-up investigations should be modified according to these factors. There is only limited information about the optimal follow-up strategy. Ongoing trials are addressing this issue, but their results are pending. Therefore, no specific recommendation with respect to the intensity of follow-up investigations can be given at the present time.
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